## Structure of Hexakis(*N*-methylimidazole-*N'*)iron(II) Tetraphenylborate Dichloromethane Solvate

By Lance L. MILLER, ROBERT A. JACOBSON, YU-SEN CHEN AND DONALD M. KURTZ JR\* Department of Chemistry and Ames Laboratory–DOE, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA

(Received 2 August 1988; accepted 11 October 1988)

Abstract.  $[Fe(C_4H_6N_2)_6][B(C_6H_5)_4]_2 \cdot CH_2Cl_2, M_r =$ 1271.88, monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ , a = 20.5320 (6), b =15.113 (3), c = 23.611 (7) Å,  $\beta = 109.01$  (3)°, V =6926.9 (1) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_r = 1.23$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) = 0.70966 Å, graphite monochromator,  $\mu = 3.37$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 2680, T = 298 K, R = 0.057 for 2310 uniqueobserved reflections. The asymmetric unit consists of a single  $[Fe(C_4H_6N_2)_6]^{2+}$  cation, two distinct  $BPh_4^$ anions, and one CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The Fe atom is octahedrally coordinated by six N-methylimidazole ligands. The six Fe–N bond distances per cation range from 2.174(11)to 2.228 (10) Å, the average being 2.207 (12) Å. This average distance is within the range previously observed for high-spin ferrous complexes. The ring planes of N-methylimidazole ligands that lie trans to each other have interplane angles of 17.56, 18.48 and 157.56°. These ring planes lie approximately either parallel or perpendicular to equatorial Fe-N bonds.

**Experimental.** All procedures for preparation of the compound were performed under an Ar atmosphere. A tenfold molar excess of N-methylimidazole was added to a solution of anhydrous FeCl<sub>3</sub> in absolute ethanol. After refluxing for 1 h a twofold molar excess over Fe of NaBPh, was added to the cooled solution, resulting in the formation of a yellowish-red solid, which was washed and vacuum dried. This solid was dissolved in THF containing excess N-methylimidazole and reduced by dropwise addition of  $(Me_2Si)_2S$ , which resulted in the formation of a white crystalline solid. This solid was recrystallized from dichloromethane-hexanes to give analytically pure  $[Fe(C_4H_6N_2)_6](BPh_4)_2$ . CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The identical compound has since been prepared by reaction of FeCl<sub>2</sub>.4H<sub>2</sub>O and excess N-methylimidazole in ethanol followed by metathesis with NaBPh<sub>4</sub> and recrystallization (Wu & Kurtz Jr, unpublished results). A colorless single crystal of approximate dimensions  $0.10 \times 0.12 \times 0.15$  mm was mounted in a Lindemannglass capillary under Ar. Monoclinic symmetry was indicated by the automatic indexing routine ALICE

(Jacobson, 1976) and subsequently verified by X-ray photographs; the space group was uniquely determined to be  $P2_1/c$  via the extinctions hol:  $l \neq 2n$  and 0k0:  $k \neq 2n$ . Accurate lattice parameters were obtained by least-squares refinement of 14 high-angle reflections. Intensity data (5859 reflections) were collected at 298 K using an  $\omega$ -scan technique over the hkl and hkl octants on a Datex four-circle diffractometer to a  $2\theta$ maximum of 41°,  $(\sin\theta)/\lambda = 0.49 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  (limited due to the poorly diffracting nature of the crystal). Three standards were monitored periodically and showed no significant decomposition over the course of data collection. The data were corrected for Lorentzpolarization and for absorption using ABSN (Karcher, 1981) (the transmittance ranged from 0.73 to 0.99); 2626 reflections were considered observed with  $I \ge 1.25\sigma(I)$ . The estimated error in each intensity was  $\sigma(I_o)^2 = C_t + KC_b + (0.03C_t)^2 +$ calculated by  $(0.03C_b)^2$ , where K is a counting time factor, C, and  $C_b$ are the total and background counts respectively, and the factor 0.03 represents an estimate of the nonstatistical errors. Equivalent reflections were averaged vielding 2310 unique observed reflections. The internal agreement factor  $R_{int} = 0.029$ . The positions of the Fe atom and several lighter atoms were determined by our automated superposition analysis routine ALCAMPS (Richardson, Kim & Jacobson, 1986) using Harker vectors selected from a standard sharpened Patterson map. Atomic scattering factors were taken from Cromer & Waber (1974); H scattering factors were taken from Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965); real and imaginary corrections for anomalous dispersion of the Fe and Cl atoms were obtained from Cromer & Liberman (1974). Structure-factor calculations and refinements, using a block-matrix least-squares procedure, were performed using the program ALLS (Lapp & Jacobson, 1979); electron-density-map calculations used FOUR (Powell & Jacobson, 1980). After all non-H atoms had been located, full-matrix leastsquares refinement was carried out using ALLS. The H positions were calculated and updated in the later stages of refinement (but not refined). Six H atoms with half occupancy were used for the methyl H atoms to simulate free rotation about the bond axis. The function

© 1989 International Union of Crystallography

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed at the Department of Chemistry, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, USA.

## Table 1. Atomic coordinates $(\times 10^4)$ and average isotropic thermal parameters $(Å^2 \times 10^3)$

Table 1 (cont.)

isotropic thermal parameters (A <sup>2</sup> × 10 <sup>5</sup> )						x	v	z	Un
$U_{av} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{l} \sum_{j} U_{lj} \mathbf{a}_{l} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j} a_{l}^{*} a_{j}^{*}$ where the temperature factors are defined as $\exp(-2\pi^{2} \sum_{l} \sum_{j} U_{lj} h_{l} h_{j} a_{l}^{*} a_{j}^{*})$ .					C50 N61 N62 C67	5481 (6) 2936 (11) 2955 (10) 2584 (8)	-726 (8) 3107 (8) 1680 (9) 2400 (20)	1030 (5) 229 (5) 410 (5) 267 (6)	119 94 * 86 87
	x	У	z	$U_{av}$	C68 C69	3613 (11)	1945 (14)	) 416 (6)	101
Fe1	2661 (1)	267 (1)	325 (8)	85	C60	2610 (5)	3973 (7)	62 (5)	97
C I I	1498 (11)	4069 (10)	-1943 (8)	76	C00	1269 (6)	-2750 (9)	-1948 (6)	134
C12	1547 (7)	4895 (9)	-2438 (0)	89	CII	1087 (2)	-2418 (3)	-1292 (2)	180
C13	1257 (11)	5953 (12)	-3206 (8)	105	CI2	2150 (2)	2530 (3)	-1782 (2)	181
C14	577 (13)	6189 (10)	-3342 (7)	115					
C15	173 (7)	5763 (13)	-3073 (8)	88					
C16 C21	461 (10)	5128 (11)	-2630 (7)	85	Tabl	e 2. Select	ed bond le	ngths (A) and	l angles (°)
C21	928 (7)	3999 (13) 4787 (11)	-1408(5) -1200(7)	79 99					•
C23	690 (8)	4799 (12)	-713(8)	114	Fe1-N12	2.228	3 (10)	N22–C27	1.320 (24)
C24	627 (8)	4015 (18)	-435 (7)	112	Fe1-N22	2.203	3 (12)	N22-C28	1.426 (24)
C25	794 (8)	3225 (13)	645 (8)	120	Fel-N42	2.17	(11)	N32-C38	1.325 (20)
C20	10/1 (/)	3211 (10)	-1105(8) -2303(6)	95	Fel-N52	2.204	4 (13)	N42-C47	1.309 (23)
C32	764 (8)	2648 (13)	-2593(0) -2510(6)	89	Fe1-N62	2.210	) (14)	N42-C48	1.367 (26)
C33	632 (9)	1925 (10)	-2918 (8)	98	N12-C17	1.270	) (23)	N52-C57	1-347 (26)
C34	1067 (11)	1758 (11)	-3244 (7)	104	N12-C18	1-384	2 (25)	N52-C58 N62-C67	1.397 (23)
C35 C36	1650 (10)	2283 (13)	-3137(7)	96				N62-C68	1.407 (28)
C41	2323 (9)	4207 (16)	-2/27(7) -1609(5)	70					• ••• (,
C42	2575 (11)	5053 (14)	-1380(7)	86	N12-Fe1-1	N22	89.97 (50)	N52-Fe1-N62	94-98 (58)
C43	3265 (14)	5240 (12)	-1050 (8)	106	N12-Fe1-1	N32 1	78.63 (51)	Fe1-N12-C17	127 (1.3)
C44	3717 (11)	4552 (17)	-923 (8)	118	N12-Fe1-1	N42 N52	90.41 (57)	Fel-N12-C18 Fel-N22-C27	$124(1\cdot 2)$ 129(1.3)
C45 C46	3520(11)	3725 (17)	-1125 (7)		N12-Fe1-1	N62	88-80 (51)	Fe1-N22-C28	127(1.3)
B2	3576 (12)	-4408 (10)	2043 (9)	84	N22-Fe1-1	N32	89.77 (55)	Fe1-N32-C37	127 (1.4)
C51	3823 (9)	-5434 (10)	2290 (5)	90	N22-Fe1-1	N42	88.16 (50)	Fe1-N32-C38	126 (1.4)
C52	3370 (7)	-6030 (13)	2418 (7)	91	N22-Fe1-F	N52	89.61 (52)	Fe1-N42-C47	129 (1.3)
C53	3575 (9)	-6878 (13)	2652 (7)	103	N32-Fe1-1	N02 I N42	90.92 (57)	Fe1_N42_C48	126 (1.4)
C 54	4220 (12)	-/1/2(10) -6603(13)	2743 (7)	123	N32-Fe1-1	N52	88-19 (58)	Fe1-N52-C58	126 (1.4)
C56	4508 (9)	-5752 (11)	2411 (6)	98	N32-Fe1-1	N62	91-56 (57)	Fe1-N62-C67	132 (1.5)
C61	3920 (6)	<b>-4070</b> (15)	1520 (6)	81	N42-Fe1-1	N52 1	77.60 (45)	Fe1-N62-C68	121 (1.2)
C62	4075 (7)	-4697 (10)	1140 (8)	96	N42-FeI-F	N62	87-27 (56)		
C63	4299 (10)	-4438 (15)	692 (11) 570 (0)	139					
C65	4214 (8)	-2960(12)	925 (8)	148			(m)	C60	
C66	3977 (7)	-3174 (11)	1388 (8)	93				V	
C71	2745 (9)	-4338 (15)	1761 (7)	90			6	N61	
C72	2410(11)	-3560 (13)	1823 (6)	87			C10	C69	
C74	1289 (8)	-3437(11) -4097(17)	1337 (8)	105			C18		
C75	1607 (11)	-4836 (15)	1082 (8)	114			T NA	CET L	
C76	2308 (13)	-4969 (9)	1363 (7)	93		C10 (			
C81	3911 (9)	-3844 (8)	2677 (6)	73		N		N62	
C82	3848 (10)	-3168(12)	3624 (7)	85		C48	NIE NIE	C58 C50	
C84	4505 (12)	-2840 (12)	3756 (8)	117	C			Fe . N51	
C85	4854 (9)	-2965 (11)	3371 (10)	107					$\sim$
C86	4577 (10)	-3481 (10)	2848 (8)	85			N22	N52 00	
N12	2357 (6)	231 (11) 406 (11)	1620 (5)	85		NAL CA	7	C57	C50
C17	2037 (8)	-158(11)	-1056(10)	103		0		C38	
C18	2478 (8)	1158 (13)	-950 (9)	117		C40 C28		C39	
C19	2183 (10)	1062 (11)	-1536 (10)	117			N21		
N21	1540 (6)	-212(8) -2571(8)	-2182(5)	96 92		Cá		C37 N31	
N22	2279 (8)	-1106 (7)	217(5)	86			C20	۳	
C27	2600 (8)	-1842 (15)	166 (6)	84					
C28	1651 (10)	-1421 (13)	280 (6)	97				C30	
C29 C20	1596 (8)	-2283(13)	244 (6)	100				-	
N31	3377 (6)	-486 (10)	2187 (7)	88	Fig. 1. 0	<i>RTEP</i> draw	ing of the c	ation in [Fe(C4	$H_6N_2)_6](BPh_4)_2$ .
N32	2979 (6)	114 (13)	1293 (5)	85	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl	<sub>2</sub> with 50% p	probability el	lipsoids. H atom	s are omitted.
C37	3083 (8)	-641 (12)	1594 (11)	93					
C38	3217 (8)	747 (10)	1696 (10)	95					
C39	3403 (7)	391 (13) 	2279(8)	97	minimiz	ed was 5	w( F   =	$ E \rangle^2$ where	$m = \sigma(F)^{-2}$
N41	720 (9)	986 (7)	568 (8)	85		u was Z	$w(T_0) =$	$r_{c}$ , where	$v = O(r_0)$ .
N42	1616 (6)	697 (7)	300 (8)	86	Ine fina	u convent	ional resid	ual index $R$	= 0.057, and
C47	1386 (11)	841 (9)	748 (6)	77	the asso	ciated we	ighted res	sidual $wR = 0$	0.063, with a
C48	1051 (14)	782 (10)	-201(7)	92	maximu	m_shift/e	s.d. of (	).39. The m	ninimum and
C40	313 (5)	1167 (7)	30 (9) 978 (5)	94 93	movim	m voluce i	n the fact	difference -1	and and
N51	4771 (6)	-607 (8)	657 (7)	93	maximu	in values 1	ii ine nnai	unierence ele	
N52	3687 (7)	-215 (8)	361 (8)	93	map wer	e < 0.4 e	A <sup>-</sup> <sup>3</sup> in ab	solute value.	The program
C57	4272 (13)	-217(10)	833 (7)	93	ORTEP	(Johnsor	n, 1970)	was used for	or molecular
C58	3849 (9) 4494 (10)	-021(11) -844(9)	-108 (7)	103	drawing	、	, <b>,</b>		
		0())	00(0)	02	ar a wing.				

-

Atomic parameters for the first and second coordination spheres of the cation are given in Table 1, selected bond distances and angles in Table 2.\* A perspective view of the cation with atomic numbering is shown in Fig. 1.

**Related literature.** The hexakis(imidazole)Fe<sup>11</sup> coordination sphere is also found in  $[Fe(C_4H_6N_2)_6][Fe_2(CO)_8]$  and  $[Fe(C_5H_8N_2)_6][Fe(CO)_4]_2$  (Seel & Lehnert, 1980), and in  $3Fe(C_3H_3N_2)_2 \cdot 2C_3H_4N_2$  (Lehnert & Seel, 1978).  $[Fe(C_4H_6N_2)_6](BPh_4)_2 \cdot CH_2Cl_2$  is apparently unique in containing *only* this coordination sphere.  $3Fe(C_3H_3N_2)_2 \cdot 2C_3H_4N_2$  contains both bridging imidazolato and terminal imidazole ligands.

This work was supported in part by the US Department of Energy under contract No. W-7405-Eng-82, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences, and by National Institutes of Health Grant GM 37851 (to DMK).

## References

- CROMER, D. T. & LIBERMAN, D. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, Table 2.3.1, pp. 149–150. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, Table 2.2A, pp. 71-79. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- JACOBSON, R. A. (1976). J. Appl. Cryst. 9, 115-118.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1970). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794, revised. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- KARCHER, B. A. (1981). PhD Dissertation. Iowa State Univ., Ames, Iowa, USA.
- LAPP, R. & JACOBSON, R. A. (1979). USDOE Report IS-4708. Iowa State Univ., Ames, Iowa, USA.
- LEHNERT, R. & SEEL, F. (1978). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 444, 91-96.
- POWELL, D. R. & JACOBSON, R. A. (1980). USDOE Report IS-4737. Iowa State Univ., Ames, Iowa, USA.
- RICHARDSON, J. W., KIM, S. & JACOBSON, R. A. (1986). USDOE Report JS-4902. Iowa State Univ., Ames, Iowa, USA.
- SEEL, F. & LEHNERT, R. (1980). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 35, 631-638.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175–3187.

Acta Cryst. (1989). C45, 529-531

## The Structure of $[VCl_3(C_4H_8O)_2(OH)].C_4H_8O$

BY FRANK BOTTOMLEY, LORI C. SUTIN AND PETER S. WHITE

Department of Chemistry, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada E3B 5A3

(Received 3 August 1988; accepted 21 September 1988)

Trichloro(hydroxo)bis(tetrahydrofuran)va-Abstract. nadium-tetrahydrofuran,  $C_{12}H_{25}Cl_{3}O_{4}V$ ,  $M_{\cdot} =$ 390.66, triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$ , a = 8.191 (15), b = 9.038 (5),  $c = 13.816 (12) \text{ Å}, \quad \alpha = 91.35 (6), \quad \beta = 106.39 (10),$  $V = 910 (3) \text{ Å}^3, \quad Z = 2, \quad D_x =$  $\gamma = 110.52 (1)^{\circ}$ , 1.42 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, Mo K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å,  $\mu =$  $0.980 \,\mathrm{mm^{-1}}, F(000) = 406, T = 295 \,\mathrm{K}, R = 0.088, wR$ = 0.093 for 2272 unique reflections  $[I_o \ge 2.5\sigma(I)]$ . The V atom is octahedrally coordinated by the O atoms of two trans  $C_4H_8O$  (thf) ligands [V-O 2.018 (5), 2.043 (5) Å], three Cl atoms [V-Cl 2.322 (2), 2.347 (2) and 2.384 (2) Å] and one OH [V-O 2.046 (6) Å]. The OH ligand is hydrogen bonded to the O of a lattice thf. Both coordinated thf molecules are rotationally disordered about their V-O axes.

**Experimental.**  $[VCl_3(thf)_2(OH)]$ .thf crystals were isolated as a byproduct of the preparation of  $(\eta$ -CH<sub>3</sub>-

0108-2701/89/030529-03\$03.00

 $C_{s}H_{4}$ )VCl<sub>2</sub>(O) from VCl<sub>2</sub>(thf)<sub>2</sub> (Sutin, 1988; Köhler & Prössdorf, 1977; Bottomley & Sutin, 1987). Light orange crystals were obtained. One of these, of dimensions  $0.28 \times 0.28 \times 0.35$  mm, was mounted on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. Lattice constants were obtained by accurate centering of 25 reflections in the range  $15 < 2\theta < 30^{\circ}$ . Intensities were measured using the  $\theta/2\theta$  scan mode, to a  $2\theta_{max}$  of 50°  $(h_{\max} 9, k_{\max} 10, l_{\max} 15)$ . Three standard reflections were monitored every hour. There was no significant change in their intensity. The intensities of 3193 unique reflections were measured, of which 2272 were judged as observed by the criteria that  $I > 2 \cdot 5\sigma(I)$ . An empirical absorption correction (DIFABS; Walker & Stuart, 1983) was made; relative transmission factors ranged from 0.63 to 1.44. The structure was solved using direct methods (MULTAN80; Main, Fiske, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq & Woolfson, 1980) and

© 1989 International Union of Crystallography

<sup>\*</sup> A complete list of bond lengths and angles, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom parameters, selected least-squares planes and interplane angles, and structure factors have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 51475 (27 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.